TAW

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

\\X\	- AR	<i></i>			
APPLICATION NO	(2 PVAR FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,935 01/26/2004		01/26/2004	Clay E. Clemmer	P-A830CIP	1934
26399	7590	04/12/2006		EXAM	INER
KELLY &	k HUBBAI	RD		PARKER, FREI	DERICK JOHN
1515 COM	IMERCE ST	TREET			
FORT WO	RTH, TX	76102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1762	

DATE MAILED: 04/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/707,935	CLEMMER, CLAY E.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Frederick J. Parker	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		\				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(a) or (1).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	- bassa basa saasisaad					
1. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No				
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/26/04</u> .	6) Other:	•				

Art Unit: 1762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: (1) claim 1, a repeat of claim 2 is inadvertently incorporated into the end of claim 1, for examination anything beyond "set" on line 11 will be ignored. Please remove claim 2 from claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1,5-7,10,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - Claims 1,5,10,14 are vague and indefinite because independent claims 1 and 10 fail to recite a step "(c)", so that the meaning of dependant claims 5 and 14 cannot be ascertained since a step critical to the dependant claims may be missing.
  - Claims 1,6,10 are vague and indefinite because they recite the final coating to be applied in a "non-uniform manner" which is confusing, since it could mean applying a uniform layer in plural non-uniform steps; it could refer to non-uniformity of the product in pattern/ shape, in thickness, in color, etc.
  - Claim 7 is vague and indefinite because the intended meaning of the "finishing" step is unclear.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,935 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McKinnon US 5942072.

McKinnon teaches a method of making products simulating natural stone comprising the steps of; preparing/ cleaning the substrate prior to coating, col. 6, 15-18, per claim 2; coating the substrate 10 with a first curable polymer layer 24 (per claim 1/a); applying particulate material 26 onto the partially cured layer 24 (per claim 1/b); applying a second curable polymer layer 40 thereon which is ultimately non-uniform in shape (fig. 10 and thickness, col. 7, 46-47), per claim 1/d; applying a second particulate layer 46 thereon (per claim 1/e); and applying a polymeric sealer layer (per claim 4). Particulate material from layer 46 is removed, which inherently would have exposed porous surface per claim 3. Thus the reference teaches every method limitation of the claimed method as set forth.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1762

- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McKinnon. 8. McKinnon is cited for the same reasons previously discussed, which are incorporated herein. Claim 6 teaches a flexible substrate. McKinnon reaches on col. 6, line 3, a "semi-rigid substrate" which one of ordinary skill would have interpreted to mean a substrate having some degree of flexibility, which is all that is required by claim 6 (a) since there is no requirement of the degree of flexibility required. Further 6 (a) requires "securing" the substrate. It is the Examiner's position that such a step is present in McKinnon because the substrate is provided in such a way that it is successfully coated to form the product. Having an unsecured or moving substrate would not provide the product as disclosed. Furthermore, securing would have been an obvious step within the purview of one of ordinary skill for ease of coating reproducibility. It is well-established that the artisan is presumed to know something about the art apart from what the references disclose, In re Jacoby 135 USPQ 317; The conclusion of obviousness maybe made from "common sense" and "common knowledge" of the person of ordinary skill, In re Bozek 163 USPQ 545. McKinnon provides removal of tape to reveal indentations, applying additional materials and rolling (col. 8, 42-47), etc which would all be "finishing" steps. The steps of claims

Art Unit: 1762

1 and 6 are otherwise analogous; claims 4 and 8 are the same limitations directed to separate dependant claims which are discussed in relation to the reference, above. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of McKinnon by utilizing non-rigid/flexible substrates as suggested by the disclosure and secured substrates because such modifications are suggested by the reference and obvious process variations within the purview of one of ordinary skill.

9. Claims 5,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McKinnon.
McKinnon is cited for the same reasons previously discussed, which are incorporated herein.
The additional layers as claimed are not disclosed.

Although the Examiner cannot reasonably interpret with certainty where the additional set of layers is applied (see 35 USC 112 rejection above), it is the Examiner's position that application of plural sets of layers to achieve a desired aesthetic or decorative effect would have been within the purview of the skilled artisan, particularly in view of the suggestion of col. 8, 37-col. 9, 41 which stresses aesthetic/ decorative aspects of the product. Matters related to the choice of ornamentation producing no mechanical effect or advantage considered to constitute the invention are considered obvious and do not impart patentability, In re Seid 73 USPQ 431. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the process of McKinnon by applying plural sets of layers as taught by the reference in order to provide a desired decorative appearance simulating natural stone.

10. Claims 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) às being unpatentable over McKinnon in view of Hoppe et al US 3188296.

Art Unit: 1762

McKinnon is cited for the same reasons previously discussed, which are incorporated herein. Bubbling gas through an unset resin to form voids is not taught.

Hoppe et al teaches to prepare porous resinous plastics (same as McKinnon) by introducing air or other gas into the non-set formulation so that the gas bubbles become entrapped in the reaction mixture, and the final product contains cells or voids due to the gas bubbles.

McKinnon's goal of producing multi-layer products comprising polyurethanes to resemble "natural stone, marble, granite, brick, or any other suitable product" would have reasonably suggested to the skilled artisan to form products simulating natural stone materials having porosity or vesicles (gas pockets in volcanic rocks), such as scoria, rhyolite, geyserite/ sinter, etc. Since Hoppe et al teaches forming voids/ gas bubbles in such polymeric materials prior to fully setting, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the process of McKinnon by incorporating the concept of pore forming in resinous materials set forth by Hoppe et al to form a product with voids to simulate porous natural stone materials such as scoria, rhyolite, geyserite, etc.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick J. Parker whose telephone number is 571/272-1426. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur. 6:15am -3:45pm, and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571/272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/707,935

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frederick J. Parker Primary Examiner

Art (U) 1762

fjp

# **ELECTRONIC INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

Electronic Version v18

Stylesheet Version v18.0

Title of Invention

Method Of Making A Stone Veneer

**Application Number:** 

Confirmation Number:

First Named Applicant:

Clay Clemmer

Attorney Docket Number:

P-A830CIP

Art Unit:

Examiner:

Search string:

( 3950202 or 4218496 or 4460635 or 4466937 or 4612214 or 4624815 or 4734302

or 4738218 or 4931331 or 5004512 or 5042215 or 5113786 or 5167991 or 5302204

or 5634307 or 5813183 or 5879603 or 5928585 or 5993920 or 6015519 or 6025023

or 5942072 or 4467007 or 3930088 or 3097080 ).pn

#### **US Patent Documents**

Note: Applicant is not required to submit a paper copy of cited US Patent Documents

init	Cite.No.	Patent No.	Date	Patentee	Kind Cla		Subclass
	1	3950202	1976-04-13	Hodges	1	\	
	2	4218496	1980-08-19	Savignac			
	3	4460635	1984-07-17	Jones et al.			
	4	4466937	1984-08-21	Johnston et al.			
	5	4612214	1986-09-16	Salvi			
	6	4624815	1986-11-25	Moufarrege			
	7	4734302	1988-03-29	Baskin			
	8	4738218	1988-04-19	Toncelli			
	9	4931331	1988-06-05	Owens			
	10	5004512	1991-04-02	Fodera			
	11	5042215	1991-08-27	Cremer et al.			
	12	5113786	1992-05-19	Hayslip			
	13	5167991	1992-12-01	Lowe			
	14	5302204	1994-04-12	Hayslip			
	15	5634307	1997-06-03	Larriberot et al.			
	16	5813183	1998-09-29	Attley			
	17	5879603	1999-03-09	Sievert			
	18	5928585	1999-07-27	Toncelli			
	19	5993920	1999-11-30	Kim			
	20	6015519	2000-01-18	Lapikas et al.			
	21	6025023	2000-02-15	Valente et al.	. 1		

						1		
Т	22	5942072	1999-08-24	McKinnon		<u></u>	·	
	23	4467007	1984-08-21	Elgie				
	24	3930088	1975-12-30	Constantin et al.				
Ī	25	3097080	1963-12-30	Weir			$\prod$	

# Signature

Examiner Name	Date
Man	4-4-06

# Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 10/707,935 Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination CLEMMER, CLAY E. Examiner Frederick J. Parker 1762 Page 1 of 1

#### **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*	-	Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	A	US-5,942,072	08-1999	McKinnon, Gordon	156/247
*	В	US-3,188,296	06-1965	PETER HOPPE; et. al.	521/133
	С	US-			
	D	US-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	T	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

#### **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	
	w	
	×	

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS COMMERC ALEXANDRIA, VA (231) 1450 COMMISSIONER FO U. S. DEPARTIMENT P.O. BOX 1450

OFFICIAL BUSINESS



02 1A **\$ 00.£** 0004205065 APR 11 : MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 2;

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



APR 2 D

USPTO MAIL UZIVIER

